Application No. 10/825,477 Attorney Docket No.: 1/1490 Amendment dated May 5, 2008

Reply to Office Action of February 5, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-2 and 5-20 are pending in the application. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested.

On page 3 of the Office Action the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 1-2, 5-9 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/53777 ("the '777 reference") in view of deVries et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,495,177 ("deVries et al."). Claim 1 recites a non-chewable pharmaceutical or dietary composition consisting of (a) one or more vitamins, (b) one or more minerals selected from the group consisting of Iron, Zinc and Magnesium, (c) one or more trace elements selected from the group consisting of Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Molybdenum and Selenium, (d) docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and (e) a pharmaceutically or dietetically suitable carrier. Rejected claim 1 does <u>not</u> include <u>calcium</u>.

The '777 reference teaches a nutritional supplement containing calcium for those pregnant and lactating women whom cannot take extra milk or dairy products, such as lactose intolerant individuals, and specifically emphasizes the importance of and provision of the extraneeded amount of calcium (pages 1-2 of the '777 reference). The principle of operation of the '777 reference is thus to provide a supplement of calcium to the intended recipients. The invention of deVries et al. stresses the importance of the exclusion of calcium. Combining the references as proposed in the Office Action to arrive at the invention of rejected claim 1 (i.e., a supplement that cannot contain calcium) changes the principle of operation of the '777 reference being modified, and thus the teachings of the references are not sufficient to render the claims prima facie obvious. In re Ratti, 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959). Therefore, claim 1 is not obvious over the '777 reference in view of deVries et al. and is thus allowable. Claims 2, 5-9 and 15 which depend from claim 1 and recite further limitations are also not obvious and are therefore allowable. Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection.

On page 6 the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 10-13 and 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the '777 reference in view of deVries et al. and further in view of Uiterwaal et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,710,387 ("Uiterwaal et al."). The applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. For the same reason above, the combination of the '777 reference and deVries et al. does not result in the invention of rejected claim 10. Uiterwaal et al. fails to cure the deficiencies of the '777 reference and deVries et al. Rejected claim 10 recites a non-chewable solid dosage

Application No. 10/825,477 Attorney Docket No.: 1/1490 Amendment dated May 5, 2008

Reply to Office Action of February 5, 2008

form pharmaceutical or dietary composition consisting of (a) a multi-vitamin mixture consisting of β -carotene, Vitamin B_1 , Vitamin B_2 , Vitamin B_6 , Vitamin B_{12} , Vitamin C, Vitamin C

On page 8 the Examiner maintained the rejection of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the '777 reference in view of deVries et al. and further in view of Uiterwaal et al. and Jimerson, Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 12(8&9): 1133-1144 (1986) ("Jimerson"). The applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. Rejected claim 14 depends from claim 10 and recites further features. Jimerson only discloses a soft gelatine capsule and provides no reason for a skilled artisan to include or exclude calcium in a nutritional supplement. Jimerson does not cure the deficiency recited above with respect to claim 10, therefore, claim 14 is not obvious over the '777 reference in view of deVries et al. and further in view of Uiterwaal et al. and Jimerson and is thus allowable. Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection.

Application No. 10/825,477 Attorney Docket No.: 1/1490 Amendment dated May 5, 2008

Reply to Office Action of February 5, 2008

In view of the foregoing remarks, the applicants submit that all claims are in condition for

allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issuance are carnestly solicited. In the event that there are any fees dues and owing in connection with this matter, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 11-0223.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 5, 2008 By: s/Timothy X. Gibson/ Timothy X. Gibson

Reg. No. 40,618 Attorney for Applicant(s)

Attorney for Applicant

Patent Department Boehringer Ingelheim Corp. 900 Ridgebury Road P.O. Box 368

Ridgefield, CT 06877 Tel.: (203) 798-4868